

CAC Public Comment Submissions November 29, 2022

First Name	Last Name	Item	Comment	Created
Penny	Alpert	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	As an Encino resident and former CD5 member, I respectfully demand continued representation as a neighborhood stakeholder who receives the daily brunt of VNY Southern departures before they make their turns. AND, as a reminder to the BOAC, membership changes to the VNY CAC can only be made by the voting members of the CAC, as the by-laws state.	11/29/22 10:47 AM
Robert	Bramen	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>As CAC members you should have been made aware beforehand that there is an item on the Consent Calendar for the BOAC December 1 meeting that calls for removal of CD 5 members from the CAC. Yet that is not in the BOAC's purview to make. The By-laws of the CAC clearly state that it is up to the CAC members by a 2/3 vote to make any changes to the make-up off the CAC's membership.</p> <p>The applicable sections of the CAC By-laws are shown below:</p> <p>ARTICLE III – MEMBERS Section 1. Members This Council shall be composed of 20 members: two representatives each appointed by the Los Angeles City Council members from Districts 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 12; three representatives appointed by the Mayor and three representatives appointed by the Board of Airport Commissioners.</p> <p>ARTICLE VIII – AMENDMENT OR REVISION Section 1. Amendment These by-laws may be amended by a majority of the appointed members of the Council. Section 2. Revision These by-laws may be revised by a two-thirds (2/3) vote of the appointed members of the Council.</p> <p>Besides redistricting should not affect CAC composition. Bel Air/Beverly Crest residents in the old and new CD 5 are greatly impacted by VNY, and they support having the current CAC membership maintained as they are along the same VNY easterly departure flight path as Encino residents.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended that the CAC ask the BOAC to take Consent item VIII.5 off the consent calendar, and instead refer it to the CAC for discussion and action as necessary.</p>	11/29/22 10:59 AM

CAC Public Comment Submissions November 29, 2022

Sue	Steinberg	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>If the CAC's purpose is to be the link and voice for the citizens and community, and not just the interests of the airport - why won't the powers at VNY listen and take note when the COMMUNITY does NOT want more helicopters, jets and 24/7 traffic?</p> <p>The CAC passed a motion last time about a MORATORIUM on any more growth at VNY - which is obviously being ignored by the BOAC and LAWA. And now they're trying to eliminate representation of the COMMUNITY in CD5 by taking away their "seat at the table." This is WRONG and needs to be addressed ASAP.</p> <p>And once again, they're trying to push thru the Helinet contract for a 25 year lease. Something the COMMUNITY has already tried to stop, and does NOT want.</p> <p>I hope that the CAC is listening to what the COMMUNITY wants and needs, and will call out the BOAC on their nefarious dealings in this, and other matters. Thank you.</p>	11/29/22 11:37 AM
Suzanne	Gutierrez-Hedges	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>I saw the BOAC agenda for Thursday. How did the Helinet contract get back on the BOAC agenda again for the third time? And, yet, hasn't even made the CAC agenda (including tonight's emergency meeting). And, 25 years for this contract? And NO community input? The CAC passed the moratorium for VNY expansion on November 1st. We need the CAC to help us, the people that will be negatively affected by this contract. WE NEED THE CAC TO STEP UP AND BE OUR VOICE!</p> <p>Also, how is it that the removal of CD5 is on the BOAC agenda? First off, that isn't up to them. Or LAWA. It needs a 2/3 vote from the CAC. Secondly, despite redistricting, CD5 is 100% ill-affected by VNY.</p>	11/29/22 11:45 AM
Lisa	Vaughan	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>LAWA and BOAC don't have authority to change the composition of the CAC; that power rests with the CAC and perhaps also with LA City Council which formed the CAC many years ago.</p> <p>LAWA needs to follow the guidance of LA City Councilmembers who clearly have stated that they want LAWA to present their track record of how the community has had input into past development at VNY, prior to any new leases being awarded.</p> <p>LAWA should heed the advice of the CAC that recently passed a motion calling for a moratorium on all new leases and development at VNY until there can be a full airing of past airport decisionmaking, in light of the Nury Martinez scandal.</p> <p>There should be no new development at Van Nuys until LAWA — with community input — has a new master plan in place.</p>	11/29/22 11:59 AM

CAC Public Comment Submissions November 29, 2022

SAVE OUR SKIES	LOS ANGELES	I. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD	<p>LAWA and BOAC do not have authority to change the composition of the CAC; that power rests with the CAC and perhaps also with LA City Council which formed the CAC many years ago.</p> <p>LAWA needs to follow the guidance of LA City Councilmembers who clearly have stated that they want LAWA to present their track record of how the community has had input into past development at VNY, prior to any new leases being awarded.</p> <p>LAWA should heed the advice of the CAC that recently passed a motion calling for a moratorium on all new leases and development at VNY until there can be a full airing of past airport decisionmaking, in light of the Nury Martinez scandal.</p> <p>There should be no new development at Van Nuys until LAWA — with community input — has a new master plan in place.</p>	11/29/22 11:59 AM
----------------	-------------	--------------------------	--	-------------------